

Audit Procedure: GRC.1 – Information Security Governance

Control Objective

To ensure that cybersecurity responsibilities are clearly assigned within the organization, providing accountability and oversight, and that a defined governance structure exists with authority for security decision-making during incidents.

Related Compliance / Regulatory Requirements

- **SB 626:** Sec. 3(a)(1)
- **NIST CSF:** ID.GV-1, ID.GV-2
- **HIPAA:** §164.308(a)(1)(ii)(A)
- **OIDSA:** §6103(a)(1)
- **OBICA:** §108

Audit Scope

- Review of organizational structure related to information security governance.
- Evaluate roles and responsibilities for security oversight and incident management.
- Assessment of policies, procedures, and documentation supporting accountability.
- Verification of management authority and escalation paths for security decisions.

Audit Procedures

1. Review Governance Structure Documentation

Objective:

Confirm the existence of a defined cybersecurity governance framework.

Procedure:

- i. Obtain the organization chart and information security governance documentation.
- ii. Verify the existence of assigned roles such as:
 - a. Chief Information Security Officer (CISO) or equivalent
 - b. Security team leads
 - c. Incident response team members
 - d. Data protection officer (if applicable)
- iii. Confirm that governance responsibilities are formally documented, including authority to make security-related decisions.

Evidence to Collect:

- Organizational chart showing cybersecurity responsibilities.
- Governance policy documents.
- Role descriptions and assignment records.

2. Assess Assignment of Responsibilities**Objective:**

Ensure cybersecurity responsibilities are clearly assigned and communicated.

Procedure:

- Review documentation assigning accountability for:
 - Security policy enforcement
 - Security incident response and escalation
 - Risk management
 - Compliance monitoring
- Conduct interviews with key personnel to validate that they understand their responsibilities.
- Verify whether there is a formally documented chain of accountability for security decisions.

Evidence to Collect:

- Responsibility matrices (e.g., RACI charts)
- Job descriptions
- Meeting notes confirming assignment of responsibilities

3. Evaluate Incident Governance and Decision-Making Authority**Objective:**

Confirm a defined authority structure exists during cybersecurity incidents.

Procedure:

- Review the incident response plan for:
 - Assigned decision-making authorities
 - Roles and responsibilities during incidents
 - Escalation procedures
- Confirm procedures for authorizing containment, remediation, and communication actions.
- Verify that the governance structure allows timely decision-making in critical security situations.

Evidence to Collect:

- Incident response plan or playbooks
- Sample incident logs showing decision-making authority in action
- Minutes from incident response meetings

4. Review Policy and Procedure Compliance**Objective:**

Ensure that policies and procedures are aligned with governance requirements.

Procedure:

- Review policies on:
 - Information security management
 - Incident response
 - Roles and responsibilities
- Verify policies are approved by senior management and regularly reviewed.
- Check for evidence of communication of policies to relevant staff.

Evidence to Collect:

- Security policies and procedures with approval records
- Training records demonstrating awareness of policies
- Policy review logs

5. Conduct Gap Analysis**Objective:**

Identify deficiencies in the governance structure or assignment of responsibilities.

Procedure:

- Compare current governance practices against regulatory requirements and frameworks.
- Document gaps or areas lacking formal accountability.
- Provide recommendations for strengthening governance structure.

Evidence to Collect:

- Gap analysis report
- Management action plan for remediation